IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 21783 of 2005

Between:

1. S. Bhomaiah Goud S/o Saya Goud
   R/o Pothireddipalli Village, Sanga Reddy Mandal,

Medak District
2. E. Ramulu Goud S/o Kista Goud
   R/o Pothireddipalli Village, Sanga Reddy Mandal,

Medak District

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Commissioner of Prohibition & Excise, Hyderabad
2. The Superintendent of Prohibition & ecise, Sanga Reddy,

Medak District

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Questioning the action of the second respondent in keeping the representations dated 3.2.2001, .07.2005, 01.08.2005 and 06.08.2005 pending for granting TFT license in favour of the petitioners, the present writ petition is filed.

The grievance of the petitioners is that as they were declared as genuine tappers, they submitted an application to the second respondent to grant TFT license under the scheme in their favour. But, the second respondent neither considered nor rejected their applications and kept it pending.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the second respondent has to take appropriate decision in this matter in accordance with the Toppy Policy of the Government.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the second respondent to take appropriate decision on the representations made by the petitioners in accordance with Toppy Policy within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(K. C. BHANU, J)

Date: 06.10.2005

Note: Issue C.C. in two days.

B.O.

Ksn